From: Styger, Sheena

To: Miller, Garyg; Turner, Philip

San Jacinto Human Health Hazard Summary Subject:

Date: Friday, May 06, 2016 3:54:41 PM

Below are summary tables for recreational fisher exposure scenarios. The maximum hazard quotient associated with recreational fisher catfish consumption is 1.1.

North of I-10 and the A	Aquatic Environment Noncancer	Hazards for a F	Recreational Fig	sher				
Scenario								
Timeframe:	Baseline							
Receptor	Recreational Fisher							
Population:	Young Child							
Receptor Age:	Reasonable Maximum Exposure	ے						
Calculation	The assirable Waximan Expession	-						
Assumption:								
			ancer Hazard (Quotient				
		Incidental	Dermal					
		Ingestion	Contact	Consumption	Exposure			
1		of	with	of Fish or	Route			
Chemical ¹	Primary Target Organ	Sediment	Sediment	Shellfish ²	Total			
Scenario 1A: Direct E	Scenario 1A: Direct Exposure Beach Area A; Ingestion of Catfish from FCA 2/3							
TEQ _{DF}	Reproductive/Developmental	0.00023	0.0013	1.1	1.1			
Methylmercury ³	Reproductive/Developmental			0.27	0.27			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Reproductive/D	evelopmental	Endpoint-Spec	ific Hazard Index	1.4			
	· · ·	•	· · · · ·					
	xposure Beach Area B/C; Ingesti	on of Catfish fr	om FCA 2/3					
TEQ _{DF}	Reproductive/Developmental	0.0032	0.018	1.1	1.1			
Methylmercury ³	Reproductive/Developmental			0.27	0.27			
	Reproductive/D	evelopmental	Endpoint-Spec	ific Hazard Index	1.4			
Scenario 3A: Direct E	xposure Beach Area E; Ingestion	of Catfish fron	n FCA 2/3					
TEQ _{DF}	Reproductive/Developmental	6.5	37	1.1	45			
Methylmercury ³	Reproductive/Developmental			0.27	0.27			
, ,	Reproductive/D	evelopmental	Endpoint-Spec	ific Hazard Index	45			
PCBs	Immune	0.49	0.65	0.88	2.0			
Inorganic Mercury ³	Immune	0.0047	0.013		0.02			
,		Immune	Endpoint-Spec	ific Hazard Index	2.0			
Scenario 3B: Direct E	xposure Beach Area E; Ingestion							
TEQ _{DF}	Reproductive/Developmental	6.5	37	0.21	44			
Methylmercury ³	Reproductive/Developmental			0.0009	0.0009			
, ,	Reproductive/D	evelopmental	Endpoint-Spec	ific Hazard Index	44			
Scenario 3C: Direct E	xposure Beach Area E; Ingestion	of Crab from F	CA 2/3					
TEQ _{DF}	Reproductive/Developmental	6.5	37	0.0032	44			
Methylmercury ³	Reproductive/Developmental			0.003	0.003			
,	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	!				

Reproductive/Developmental Endpoint-Specific Hazard Index						
Scenario 4A: Direct Exposure Beach Area D; Ingestion of Catfish from FCA 1						
TEQ _{DF}	Reproductive/Developmental	0.0011	0.006	1	1.1	
Methylmercury ³	Reproductive/Developmental			0.36	0.36	
Reproductive/Developmental Endpoint-Specific Hazard Index						

Note:

PCB – polychlorinated biphenyls

TEQ_{DF} – 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin toxicity equivalent quotient

North of I-10 and the Aquatic Environment Cancer Hazards for a Recreational Fisher

Scenario Timeframe: Receptor Population: Receptor Age: Calculation Assumption:	Baseline Recreational Fisher Lifetime Reasonable Maximum	<u>'</u>							
	TEQ								
Chemical of Concern	Incidental Ingestion of Sediment	Dermal Contact with Sediment	Consumption of Fish or Shellfish ²	Total					
Scenario 3A: Direct Exposure Beach Area E; Ingestion of Catfish from FCA 2/3									
TEQ _{DF}	2.0	11	0.33	14					
TEQ _{DF} Scenario 3B: Direct Expo	-			14					
	-			14					
Scenario 3B: Direct Expo	sure Beach Area E; Ingo 2.0	estion of Clam from FC 11	A 2 0.065						

Note:

FCA – fish collection area

 $TEQ_{DF} = 2,3,7,8$ -tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin toxicity equivalent quotient

Sheena Styger

Geologist/Project Manager

EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc.

 $^{^{}m 1}$ All chemicals with primary target organ exposure route totals greater than 1 are included in this table.

² See scenario title for identification of tissue consumed

³ Consistent with EPA guidance (2010c), 100 percent of mercury detected in tissue was assumed to be methylmercury and 100 percent of mercury detected in soil and sediment was assumed to be inorganic mercury. FCA – fish collection area

 $^{^{1}}$ A threshold or minimum dose must be reached for TEQ_{DF} before a carcinogenic effect can occur. Therefore, the potential for cancer to occur as a result of the assumed exposure is estimated using a hazard metric like that described for noncancer hazards. For additional discussion regarding this topic see Integral and Anchor 2013b.

² See scenario title for identification of tissue consumed

1000 Atlantic Avenue Suite 101

Alameda, CA 94501

Main: (510) 545-4150 extension 1754

Direct: (510) 545-4138 Cell: (360) 324-0229